Fractional Helly property in model theory

Artem Chernikov

UCLA

UC Berkeley Model Theory Seminar, Nov 21, 2017

Theorem

[Helly, 1913] Let S_1, \ldots, S_n be convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , with n > d. If the intersection of every d + 1 of these sets is non-empty, then the intersection of the whole collection $\bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i$ is non-empty.

Fractional Helly's theorem

Theorem

[Katchalski, Liu, 1979] Fix dimension $d \ge 1$. The for every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ there exists $\beta = \beta (\alpha, d) \in (0, 1]$ such that the following holds:

If S_1, \ldots, S_n are convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d , $n \ge d + 1$, such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i \neq \emptyset$ for at least $\alpha \binom{n}{d+1}$ of the sets $I \in \binom{[n]}{d+1}$, then there is some $J \subseteq [n]$ such that $|J| \ge \beta n$ and $\bigcap_{i \in J} S_i \neq \emptyset$.

FHP for set systems

Let now (X, F) be an arbitrary set system (i.e. X is a set and F is a family of subsets of X).

Definition

We say that \mathcal{F} satisfies the *fractional Helly property*, or FHP, if there is some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ there exists $\beta \in (0, 1]$ satisfying the following: If $(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \in \mathcal{F}^n$ is such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i \neq \emptyset$ for at least $\alpha {n \choose d}$ of the sets $I \in {[n] \choose d}$, then there is some $J \subseteq [n]$ such that $|J| \ge \beta n$ and $\bigcap_{i \in J} S_i \neq \emptyset$. The minimal *d* for which this holds is the *fractional Helly number* for \mathcal{F} (if this holds for *d*, then also holds for any $d' \ge d$).

FHP for formulas

- ▶ Let *T* be a complete first-order theory in a language \mathcal{L} , $\mathcal{M} \models T$ and $\phi(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}$ a formula.
- We associate with it a definable family $\mathcal{F}_{\phi} = \{\phi(M, b) : b \in M_y\}$ of subsets of M_x .

Definition

We say that $\phi(x, y)$ has FHP if the family \mathcal{F}_{ϕ} has FHP (and the fractional Helly number of ϕ is the fractional Helly number of \mathcal{F}_{ϕ}). \mathcal{T} has FHP if every formula has FHP.

(Note: FHP is a property of the theory, rather than of the specific model M).

FHP and Shelah's classification

- FHP implies NTP₂.
- FHP implies low.
- [Matousek, 2003] NIP implies FHP. More precisely, if π^{*}_F(n) = o (n^d) as n → ∞ (e.g. if vc^{*} (F) < d), then d is a fractional Helly number for F.
- If all formulas φ (x, y) with |x| = 1 have FHP, then T has FHP.
- There is no implication between FHP and wnfcp.

FHP relatively to a class of measures

- ► Recall: a Keisler measure µ on M_y is a finitely additive probability measure on the Boolean algebra of definable subsets of M_y.
- ▶ Let \mathfrak{M} be a class of measures on M_y such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n \in \mathfrak{M}$ we fix a certain product measure μ^* on $M_{n \times y}$. If $\mu_i = \mu$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we denote μ^* by $\mu^{(n)}$.

Definition

We say that $\phi(x, y)$ has *FHP relatively to* \mathfrak{M} if there is some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\alpha > 0$ there is $\beta > 0$ satisfying: for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}$, if $\mu^{(d)} \left(\exists x \bigwedge_{i=1}^{d} \phi(x, y_i) \right) \ge \alpha$ then there is some $a \in M_x$ with $\mu(\phi(a, y)) \ge \beta$.

Note: φ has FHP iff it has FHP relatively to the class 𝔐_{fin} of finitely supported measures (with the unique product measure).

Fap measures, 1

Definition

Let μ be a measure on M_x and $\phi(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}$.

- 1. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, a multiset $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq M_x$ is an ε -approximation of μ on ϕ if for every $b \in M_y$, $\mu(\phi(x, b)) \approx^{\varepsilon} \frac{|\{i : \models \phi(a_i, b)\}|}{n}$.
- 2. μ is finitely approximated on ϕ , or fap on ϕ , if it admits a finite ε -approximation on ϕ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.
- 3. μ is *fap* if it is fap on every ϕ .
- In NIP, μ is fap iff μ is generically stable.
- Examples of fap measures:
 - A measure concentrated on a finite set,
 - ► In an *o*-minimal *M*, Lebesgue measure on [0, 1] (restricted to definable sets),
 - In \mathbb{Q}_p , additive Haar measure on the compact ball \mathbb{Z}_p .
- The $\{0,1\}$ -measure given by the type at $+\infty$ in $(\mathbb{R},+,\times,<,0,1)$ is not fap.

Fap measures, 2

- ▶ Assume we are given a definable relation $E(x, y) \in \text{Def}(M_{xy})$.
- Let μ and ν be Keisler measures on M_x and M_y , respectively.
- Note that Def (M_{xy}) ≠ Def (M_x) × Def (M_y), and E may not be µ × ν-measurable.
- In general, there are many ways to extend the product measure $\mu \times \nu$ to a measure ω on Def (M_{xy}) .
- ► For fap measures, we have a canonical choice.

Definition. Given fap measures μ , ν , on M_x , M_y respectively, we define a measure $\mu \otimes \nu$ on M_{xy} by

$$\mu \otimes \nu \left(E\left(x,y
ight)
ight) = \int_{M_{x}} \left(\int_{M_{y}} \mathbf{1}_{E}\left(x,y
ight) d
u
ight) d\mu.$$

► It is well-defined, fap and satisfies the Fubini property: $\mu \otimes \nu = \nu \otimes \mu$.

FHP relatively to fap measures

Lemma

If ϕ has FHP (i.e., relatively to the class \mathfrak{M}_{fin}), then ϕ has FHP relatively to the class \mathfrak{M}_{fap} of fap measures (with \otimes).

Then Matousek's theorem + Fact immediately imply (taking contrapositives):

Fact

[Hrushovski, Pillay, Simon, "A note on generically stable measures and fsg groups"] Let T be NIP and μ a generically stable measure. If $\mu(\phi(x, b)) = 0$ for all b, then there is some d such that $\mu^{(d)}(\exists y (\phi(x_1, y) \land \ldots \land \phi(x_d, y))) = 0.$

 Conversely, under the global NIP assumption Matousek's theorem follows from the fact using that the class of generically stable measures in NIP is closed under ultraproducts.

Colorful version

No reason to fix only one measure. We have (refining [Pillay, "Weight and measure in NIP theories"]):

Theorem

Let T be NIP, such that dp-rank("x = x") $\leq d$. Then for any formulas $\phi_1(x, y_1), \ldots, \phi_{d+1}(x, y_{d+1}) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\alpha > 0$ there is some $\beta > 0$ such that:

if μ_i is a fap measure on M_{y_i} , i = 1, ..., d + 1, and $\mu_1 \otimes ... \otimes \mu_{d+1} \left(\exists x \bigwedge_{i=1}^{d+1} \phi_i(x, y_i) \right) \ge \alpha$ then there is some $a \in M_x$ and $1 \le i \le d + 1$ such that $\mu_i \left(\phi_i(a, y_i) \right) \ge \beta$.

► This corresponds to the so called "colorful fractional Helly property" for NIP families (was known in combinatorics for convex sets in ℝ^k, due to [Barany et. al., 2014]).

Corollary

The fractional Helly number of $\phi(x, y)$ is at most dp-rank(x = x) + 1 (by the Theorem for $\phi_i(x, y_i) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\mu_i = \mu$).

(p, q)-theorem

Definition

We say that a family of sets $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is *pierceable* if there is some number $d \in \omega$ such that for any $q \ge p \ge d$ there is some $N = N(p,q) \in \omega$ such that if a finite subfamily $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ satisfies the (p,q)-property (i.e. among any q sets from \mathcal{F} , at least p have a non-empty intersection), then there are some $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in X$ such that every $S \in \mathcal{F}'$ contains at least one of the a_i 's.

Theorem

[Alon, Kleitman] For any d, $\mathcal{F} = \{$ convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d \}$ is pierceable.

Theorem

[Matousek] If $VC(\mathcal{F}) < \infty$, then \mathcal{F} is pierceable.

• The proof combines FHP + existence of ε -nets.

(p, q)-theorem and NIP

Theorem

Assume that $VC(\mathcal{F}) = \infty$. Then the family $\mathcal{F}' = \{S_1 \land \neg S_2 : S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is not pierceable.

- ▶ In particular, *T* is NIP iff \mathcal{F}_{ϕ} is pierceable for every $\phi \in \mathcal{L}$.
- ► The family of convex sets in ℝ^d shows that this doesn't hold at the level of a formula.

FHP in MS-measurable structures, 1

Definition

[Macpherson, Steinhorn, 2008] An *L*-structure *M* is *MS*-measurable if for every non-empty set $X \subseteq M^n$ definable with parameters, we have a pair (dim (X), meas (X)) with dim (X) $\in \mathbb{N}$, dim (X) $\leq n$ and meas (X) $\in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying some strong definability properties and a **Fubini** condition.

Example

[Chatzidakis, van den Dries, Macintyre] Let $M = \prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{F}_p / \mathcal{U}$ be an ultraproduct of finite fields, P a set of primes, \mathcal{U} a non-principal ultrafilter on P.

Let $X \subseteq M^n$ be a definable set, $X = \prod_{p \in P} X_p / \mathcal{U}$ for some $X_p \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$. Then $(\dim(X), \operatorname{meas}(X)) = (d, \alpha)$ if

$$|X_p| \approx \alpha p^d$$

for \mathcal{U} -many p.

FHP in MS-measurable structures, 2

For any definable set B ⊆ M_y, we have a Keisler measure µ_B concentrated on B and defined by

$$\mu_B(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{\operatorname{meas}(X \cap B)}{\operatorname{meas}(B)} & \text{if } \dim(X \cap B) = \dim(B), \\ 0 & \text{if } \dim(X \cap B) < \dim(B) \end{cases}$$

for all definable $X \subseteq M_y$.

▶ Let $\mathfrak{M} = {\mu_B : B \subseteq M_y \text{ definable}}$, and we take $\mu_{B_1} \otimes \mu_{B_2} := \mu_{B_1 \times B_2}$ (given by the same double integral as in fap measures).

Theorem

In an MS-measurable theory, $\phi(x, y)$ satisfies FHP relatively to the class of measures $\mathfrak{M}_y = \{\mu_B : B \subseteq M_y \text{ definable}\}.$

- In particular, MS-measurable implies FHP (as µ_B with B finite is the counting measure concentrated on B), and the fractional Helly number of φ (x, y) is at most max {dim φ (x, b) : b ∈ M_y} + 1.
- Gives a definable FHP theorem for large finite fields.

Ultraproducts of the *p*-adics

► For each prime p, the field Q_p is NIP, so satisfies FHP relatively to generically stable measures.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{M} = \prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathcal{U}$ for P a set of primes, \mathcal{U} a non-principal ultrafilter on P. Then $T = \text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfies FHP (i.e. for finitely supported measures).

- Problem: is there a motivic version?
- Note: T is neither NIP nor simple. Previously known: T is NTP₂ (C.) and moreover T is inp-minimal (C., Simon).

Some more examples

Theorem

- Th (ℤ, +, Sqf) is FHP (elaborating on the results of Bhardwaj-Tran).
- 2. Assuming Dickson's conjecture, Th $(\mathbb{Z}, +, Pr)$ is not FHP (but it is supersimple of SU-rank 1 by Kaplan-Shelah, hence wnfcp).

f-generics in amenable FHP groups

• Let G be a group and $A \subseteq G$.

- A is generic ⇒ A is weakly generic ^G ⇒ µ(A) > 0 for some G-invariant measure µ ⇒ A is f-generic.
- [C., Simon] In defiably amenable NIP, f-generic = weak generic.

Theorem

Let G be an amenable group with FHP and $A \subseteq G$ definable. TFAE:

- 1. A is f-generic.
- 2. $\mu(A) > 0$ for some G-invariant Keisler measure.
- 3. $\mu(A) > 0$ for some G-invariant measure on $\mathcal{P}(G)$.

f-generics in amenable FHP groups

Example

- 1. In Th (\mathbb{Z} , +, Pr), Pr is *f*-generic, but μ (Pr) = 0 for any invariant Keisler measure (by the Prime Number theorem).
- 2. In Th (\mathbb{Z} , +, Sqf), μ (Sqf) = $\frac{6}{\pi^2} > 0$ for an invariant measure (Banach density), but Sqf is not weakly generic.