

Tame definable topological dynamics

Artem Chernikov

(Paris 7)

Géométrie et Théorie des Modèles,

4 Oct 2013, ENS, Paris

- ▶ Joint work with Pierre Simon, continues previous work with Anand Pillay and Pierre Simon.

Setting

- ▶ T is a complete first-order theory in a language L , countable for simplicity.
- ▶ $\mathbb{M} \models T$ — a monster model, $\kappa(\mathbb{M})$ -saturated for some sufficiently large strong limit cardinal $\kappa(\mathbb{M})$.
- ▶ G — a definable group (over \emptyset for simplicity).
- ▶ As usual, for any set A we denote by $S_x(A)$ the (compact, Hausdorff) space of types (in the variable x) over A and by $S_G(A) \subseteq S_x(A)$ the space of types in G .
 $\text{Def}_x(A)$ denotes the boolean algebra of A -definable subsets of \mathbb{M} .
- ▶ G acts naturally on $S_G(\mathbb{M})$ by homeomorphisms:
for $a \models p(x) \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$ and $g \in G(\mathbb{M})$,
 $g \cdot p = \text{tp}(g \cdot a) = \{\phi(x) \in L(\mathbb{M}) : \phi(g^{-1} \cdot x) \in p\}$.

VC-dimension

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{F} = \{X_a : a \in A\}$ be a family of subsets of a set S .
- ▶ For a set $B \subseteq S$, let $\mathcal{F} \cap B = \{X_a \cap B : a \in A\}$.
- ▶ We say that $B \subseteq S$ is *shattered* by \mathcal{F} if $\mathcal{F} \cap B = 2^B$.
- ▶ Let the *Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension* (*VC dimension*) of \mathcal{F} be the largest integer n such that some subset of S of size n is shattered by \mathcal{F} (otherwise ∞).
- ▶ Let $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = \max \{|\mathcal{F} \cap B| : B \subset S, |B| = n\}$.
- ▶ If the VC dimension of \mathcal{F} is infinite, then $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = 2^n$ for all n .
However,

Fact

[Sauer-Shelah lemma] If \mathcal{F} has VC dimension d , then $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = O(n^d)$.

- ▶ Computational learning theory, probability/combinatorics, functional analysis, model theory...

NIP theories

- ▶ A formula $\phi(x, y)$ (where x, y are tuples of variables) is NIP if the family $\mathcal{F}_\phi = \{\phi(x, a) : a \in \mathbb{M}\}$ has finite VC-dimension.
- ▶ T is NIP if it implies that every formula $\phi(x, y) \in L$ is NIP.

Fact

[Shelah] T is NIP iff every formula $\phi(x, y)$ with $|x| = 1$ is NIP.

- ▶ Examples of NIP theories:
 - ▶ stable theories (e.g. modules, algebraically / separably / differentially closed fields, free groups by Sela),
 - ▶ σ -minimal theories (e.g. real closed fields with exponentiation),
 - ▶ ordered abelian groups,
 - ▶ algebraically closed valued fields, p -adics.
- ▶ Non-examples: the theory of the random graph, pseudo-finite fields, ...

Model-theoretic connected components

Let A be a small subset of \mathbb{M} . We define:

- ▶ $G_A^0 = \bigcap \{H \leq G : H \text{ is } A\text{-definable, of finite index}\}.$
- ▶ $G_A^{00} = \bigcap \{H \leq G : H \text{ is type-definable over } A, \text{ of bounded index, i.e. } < \kappa(\mathbb{M})\}.$
- ▶ $G_A^\infty = \bigcap \{H \leq G : H \text{ is } \text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}/A)\text{-invariant, of bounded index}\}.$
- ▶ Of course $G_A^0 \supseteq G_A^{00} \supseteq G_A^\infty$, and in general all these subgroups get smaller as A grows.

Connected components in NIP

Fact

Let T be NIP. Then for every small set A we have:

- ▶ [Baldwin-Saxl] $G_{\emptyset}^0 = G_A^0$,
- ▶ [Shelah] $G_{\emptyset}^{00} = G_A^{00}$,
- ▶ [Shelah for abelian groups, Gismatullin in general] $G_{\emptyset}^{\infty} = G_A^{\infty}$.
- ▶ All these are normal $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M})$ -invariant subgroups of G of finite (resp. bounded) index. We will be omitting \emptyset in the subscript.

Example

[Conversano, Pillay] There are NIP groups in which $G^{00} \neq G^{\infty}$ (G is a saturated elementary extension of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, the universal cover of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, in the language of groups. G is not actually denable in an o -minimal structure, but one can give another closely related example which is).

The logic topology on G/G^{00}

- ▶ Let $\pi : G \rightarrow G/G^{00}$ be the quotient map.
- ▶ We endow G/G^{00} with the *logic topology*: a set $S \subseteq G/G^{00}$ is closed iff $\pi^{-1}(S)$ is type-definable over some (any) small model M .
- ▶ With this topology, G/G^{00} is a compact topological group.
- ▶ In particular, there is a normalized left-invariant Haar probability measure h_0 on it.

Examples

1. If $G^0 = G^{00}$ (e.g. G is a stable group), then G/G^{00} is a profinite group: it is the inverse image of the groups G/H , where H ranges over all definable subgroups of finite index.
2. If $G = \text{SO}(2, \mathcal{R})$ is the circle group defined in a real closed field \mathcal{R} , then G^{00} is the set of infinitesimal elements of G and G/G^{00} is canonically isomorphic to the standard circle group $\text{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$.
3. More generally, if G is any definably compact group defined in an \mathcal{o} -minimal expansion of a field, then G/G^{00} is a compact Lie group. This is part of the content of Pillay's conjecture (now a theorem).
4. This does not hold any more if G is a non-compact Lie group. For example if $G = (\mathbb{R}, +)$, then $G^{00} = G$ and G/G^{00} is trivial.

Keisler measures

- ▶ A *Keisler measure* μ over a set of parameters $A \subseteq \mathbb{M}$ is a finitely additive probability measure on the boolean algebra $\text{Def}_x(A)$.
- ▶ $S(\mu)$ denotes the support of μ , i.e. the closed subset of $S_x(A)$ such that if $p \in S(\mu)$, then $\mu(\phi(x)) > 0$ for all $\phi(x) \in p$.
- ▶ Let $\mathfrak{M}_x(A)$ be the space of Keisler measures over A . It can be naturally viewed as a closed subset of $[0, 1]^{L(A)}$ with the product topology, so $\mathfrak{M}_x(A)$ is compact. Every type can be associated with a Dirac measure concentrated on it.

Fact

There is a natural bijection $\{\text{Keisler measures over } A\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{Regular Borel probability measures on } S(A)\}$.

- ▶ We will use this equivalence freely and will just say “measure”.

The weak law of large numbers

- ▶ Let (X, μ) be a probability space.
- ▶ Given a set $S \subseteq X$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, we define
$$\text{Av}(x_1, \dots, x_n; S) = \frac{1}{n} |S \cap \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}|.$$
- ▶ For $n \in \omega$, let μ^n be the product measure on X^n .

Fact

(Weak law of large numbers) Let $S \subseteq X$ be measurable and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for any $n \in \omega$ we have:

$$\mu^n(\bar{x} \in X^n : |\text{Av}(x_1, \dots, x_n; S) - \mu(S)| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{4n\varepsilon^2}.$$

A uniform version for families of finite VC dimension

Fact

[VC theorem] Let (X, μ) be a probability space, and let \mathcal{F} be a family of measurable subsets of X of finite VC-dimension such that:

1. for each n , the function $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sup_{S \in \mathcal{F}} |\text{Av}(x_1, \dots, x_n; S) - \mu(S)|$ is a measurable function from X^n to \mathbb{R} ;
2. for each n , the function $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n) = \sup_{S \in \mathcal{F}} |\text{Av}(x_1, \dots, x_n; S) - \text{Av}(x'_1, \dots, x'_n; S)|$ from X^{2n} to \mathbb{R} is measurable.

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \omega$ we have:

$$\mu^n \left(\sup_{S \in \mathcal{F}} |\text{Av}(x_1, \dots, x_n; S) - \mu(S)| > \varepsilon \right) \leq 8\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n) \exp \left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{32} \right).$$

(recall that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$ is bounded by a polynomial by Sauer-Shelah).

Approximating

- ▶ In particular this implies that in NIP measures can be approximated by the averages of types:

Corollary

() [Hrushovski, Pillay] Let T be NIP, $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_x(A)$, $\phi(x, y) \in L$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary. Then there are some $p_0, \dots, p_{n-1} \in S(\mu)$ such that $\mu(\phi(x, a)) \approx^\varepsilon \text{Av}(p_0, \dots, p_{n-1}; \phi(x, a))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{M}$.*

Definably amenable groups

Definition

A definable group G is *definably amenable* if there is a global (left) G -invariant Keisler measure on G .

- ▶ If G is definably amenable, then it also admits a global measure which is right-invariant ($\nu(\phi(x)) = \mu(\phi(x^{-1}))$).
- ▶ If for some model M there is a left-invariant Keisler measure μ_0 on M -definable sets, then G is definably amenable.

Examples of definably amenable groups

1. If for some model M , the group $G(M)$ is amenable as a discrete group, then G is definably amenable.
2. If G admits a left-invariant type, that is a global type p such that $g \cdot p = p$ for all $g \in G$, then it is definably amenable. Such groups are called *definably extremely amenable*.
3. Suppose T has a model M such that G is defined over M and $G(M)$ has a compact (Hausdorff) group topology such that every definable subset of G is Haar measurable. Then G is definably amenable. (e.g. let $G(\mathbb{R})$ be a compact Lie group, seen as a definable group in RCF . Then G is definably amenable)
4. In particular, the group $SO_3(\mathbb{R})$ is definably amenable, but it is not amenable (Banach-Tarski paradox).
5. More generally, definably compact groups in \mathcal{o} -minimal structures are definably amenable.

Examples of definably amenable groups

Examples

1. Any stable groups is definably amenable. In particular the free group F_2 is known by the work of Sela to be stable as a pure group, and hence is definably amenable.
2. Any pseudo-finite group is definably amenable.
3. If K is an algebraically closed valued field or a real closed field and $n > 1$, then $SL(n, K)$ is not definably amenable.

Problem

- ▶ **Problem.** Classify all G -invariant measures in a definably amenable group (to some extent)?
- ▶ The set of measures on $S(\mathbb{M})$ can be naturally viewed as a subset of $C^*(S(\mathbb{M}))$, the dual space of the topological vector space of continuous functions on $S(\mathbb{M})$, with the weak* topology of pointwise convergence (i.e. $\mu_i \rightarrow \mu$ if $\int f d\mu_i \rightarrow \int f d\mu$ for all $f \in C(S(\mathbb{M}))$). One can check that this topology coincides with the topology on the space of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{M})$ that we had introduced before.
- ▶ The set of G -invariant measures is a compact convex subset, and extreme points of this set are called *ergodic* measures.
- ▶ Using Choquet theory, one can represent arbitrary measures as integral averages over extreme points.
- ▶ We will characterize ergodic measures on G as liftings of the Haar measure on G/G^{00} w.r.t. certain “generic” types.

Invariant types

Definition

1. A global type $p \in S_x(\mathbb{M})$ is *invariant over a small set A* if $p = \sigma p$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}/A)$, where $\sigma p = \{\phi(x, \sigma(a)) : \phi(x, a) \in p\}$.
 2. A global type $p \in S_x(\mathbb{M})$ is invariant if it is invariant over some small model M .
- Every definable type is invariant. In fact, a weak converse is true in NIP:

Fact

1. [Hrushovski, Pillay] If T is NIP and $p \in S_x(\mathbb{M})$ is invariant over M , then it is Borel-definable over M , more precisely for every $\phi(x, y) \in L$ the set $\{a \in \mathbb{M} : \phi(x, a) \in p\}$ is defined by a finite boolean combination of type-definable sets over M .
2. [Shelah] If T is NIP and M is a small model, then there are at most $2^{|M|}$ global M -invariant types.

Strongly f -generic types

- ▶ Now we also have a definable group G acting on types.

Definition

A global type $p \in S_x(\mathbb{M})$ is *strongly f -generic* if there is a small model M such that $g \cdot p$ is invariant over M for all $g \in G(\mathbb{M})$.

Fact

[Hrushovski, Pillay]

1. An NIP group is definably amenable if and only if there is a strongly f -generic type.
2. If $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$ is strongly f -generic then $\text{Stab}(p) = G^{00} = G^\infty$ (where $\text{Stab}(p) = \{g \in G : gp = p\}$).

f -generic types

Definition

A global type $p \in S_x(\mathbb{M})$ is f -generic if for every $\phi(x) \in p$ and some/any small model M such that $\phi(x) \in L(M)$ and any $g \in G(\mathbb{M})$, $g \cdot \phi(x)$ contains a global M -invariant type.

Theorem

Let G be an NIP group, and $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$.

1. G is definably amenable if and only if it has a bounded orbit (i.e. exist $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$ s.t. $|Gp| < \kappa(\mathbb{M})$).
 2. If G is definably amenable, then p is f -generic iff it is G^{00} -invariant iff $\text{Stab}(p)$ has bounded index in G iff the orbit of p is bounded.
- ▶ (1) confirms a conjecture of Petrykowski in the case of NIP theories (it was previously known in the o-minimal case [Conversano-Pillay]).
 - ▶ Our proof uses the theory of forking over models in NIP from [Ch., Kaplan] (I'll say more later in the talk).

f -generic vs strongly f -generic

- ▶ Are the notions of f -generic and strongly f -generic different?
- ▶ **Proposition.** $p \in S(\mathbb{M})$ is strongly f -generic iff it is f -generic and invariant over some small model M .

Example

There are f -generic types which are not strongly f -generic. Let \mathcal{R} be a saturated model of RCF , and let $G = (R^2, +)$. Let $p(x)$ denote the definable 1-type at $+\infty$ and $q(y)$ a global 1-type which is not invariant over any small model (hence corresponds to a cut of maximal cofinality from both sides). Then p and q are weakly orthogonal types, i.e. $p(x) \cup q(y)$ determines a complete type. Let $(a, b) \models p(x) \cup q(y)$ and consider $r = \text{tp}(a, a \cdot b/\mathcal{R})$. Then r is a G -invariant type which is not invariant over any small model.

Lifting measures from G/G^{00}

- ▶ We explain the connection between G -invariant measures and f -generic types.
- ▶ Let $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$ be f -generic (so in particular gp is G^{00} -invariant for all $g \in G$).
- ▶ Let $A_{\phi,p} = \{\bar{g} \in G/G^{00} : \phi(x) \in g \cdot p\}$.
- ▶ **Claim.** $A_{\phi,p}$ is a measurable subset of G/G^{00} (using Borel-definability of invariant types in NIP).

Definition

For $\phi(x) \in L(\mathbb{M})$, we define $\mu_p(\phi(x)) = h_0(A_{\phi,p})$.

- ▶ The measure μ_p is G -invariant and $\mu_{g \cdot p} = \mu_p$ for any $g \in G$.

Properties of μ_p 's

- ▶ **Lemma.** For a fixed formula $\phi(x, y)$, let \mathbf{A}_ϕ be the family of all $A_{\phi(x,b),p}$ where b varies over \mathbb{M} and p varies over all f -generic types. Then \mathbf{A}_ϕ has finite VC-dimension.
- ▶ **Corollary.** For fixed $\phi(x) \in L(\mathbb{M})$ and an f -generic $p \in S_x(\mathbb{M})$, the family $\mathcal{F} = \{g \cdot A_{\phi,p} : g \in G/G^{00}\}$ has finite VC-dimension (as changing the formula we can assume that every translate of ϕ is an instance of ϕ).

Lemma ().** For any $\phi(x) \in L$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and a *finite* collection of f -generic types $(p_i)_{i < n}$ there are some $g_0, \dots, g_{m-1} \in G$ such that for any $g \in G$ and $i \in \omega$ we have $\mu_{p_i}(g \cdot \phi(x)) \approx^\varepsilon \text{Av}(g_j \cdot g \cdot \phi(x) \in p_i)$.

Proof.

Enough to be able to apply the VC-theorem to the family \mathcal{F} . It has finite VC-dimension by the previous corollary, we have to check that f_n, g_n are measurable for all $n \in \omega$. Using invariance of h_0 this can be reduced to checking that certain analytic sets are measurable. As L is countable, G/G^{00} is a Polish space (the logic topology can be computed over a fixed countable model). Luckily, analytic sets in Polish spaces are universally measurable (follows from the projective determinacy for analytic sets). □

- ▶ Remark. In fact the proof shows that one can replace *finite* by *countable*.

Properties of μ_p 's

Proposition. Let p be an f -generic type, and assume that $q \in \overline{Gp}$. Then q is f -generic and $\mu_p = \mu_q$.

Proof.

q is f -generic as the space of f -generic types is closed. Fix some $\phi(x)$. It follows from Lemma (***) that the measure $\mu_p(\phi(x))$ is determined up to ε by knowing which cosets of $\phi(x)$ belong to p . These cosets are the same for both types p and q by topological considerations on $S_x(\mathbb{M})$. □

- ▶ It follows that for a given G -invariant measure μ , the set of f -generic types p for which $\mu_p = \mu$ is closed.

Properties of μ_p 's

Proposition. Let p be f -generic. Then for any definable set $\phi(x)$, if $\mu_p(\phi(x)) > 0$, then there is a finite union of translates of $\phi(x)$ which has μ_p -measure 1.

Proof.

Can cover the support $S(\mu_p)$ by finitely many translates using the previous lemma and compactness. \square

Proposition. Let μ be G -invariant, and assume that $p \in S(\mu)$. Then p is f -generic.

Proof.

Fix $\phi(x) \in p$, let M be some small model such that ϕ is defined over M . By [Ch., Pillay, Simon], every $G(M)$ -invariant measure μ on $S(M)$ extends to a global G -invariant, M -invariant measure μ' (one can take an “invariant heir” of μ). As $\mu|_M(\phi(x)) > 0$, it follows that $\phi(x) \in q$ for some $q \in S(\mu')$. But every type in the support of an M -invariant measure is M -invariant. \square

Properties of μ_p 's

Lemma (*)**. Let μ be G -invariant. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\phi(x, y)$, there are some f -generic p_0, \dots, p_{n-1} such that $\mu(\phi(x, b)) \approx^\varepsilon \text{Av}(\mu_{p_i}(\phi(x, b)))$ for any $b \in \mathbb{M}$.

Proof.

- ▶ WLOG every translate of an instance of ϕ is an instance of ϕ .
- ▶ On the one hand, by Lemma (*) and G -invariance of μ there are types p_0, \dots, p_{n-1} from the support of μ such that $\mu(\phi(x, b)) \approx^\varepsilon \text{Av}(g\phi(x, b) \in p_i)$ for any $g \in G$ and $b \in \mathbb{M}$.
- ▶ By the previous lemma p_i 's are f -generic.
- ▶ On the other hand, by Lemma (**) for every $b \in \mathbb{M}$ there are some $g_0, \dots, g_{m-1} \in G$ such that for any $i < n$, $\mu_{p_i}(\phi(x, b)) \approx^\varepsilon \text{Av}(g_j \cdot \phi(x, b) \in p_i)$.
- ▶ Combining and re-enumerating we get that $\mu(\phi(x, b)) \approx^{2\varepsilon} \text{Av}(\mu_{p_i}(\phi(x, b)))$.



Ergodic measures

Theorem

Global ergodic measures are exactly the measures of the form μ_p for p varying over f -generic types.

Proof: μ_p 's are ergodic.

- ▶ We had defined ergodic measures as extreme points of the convex set of G -invariant measures.
- ▶ Equivalently, a G -invariant measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_x(\mathbb{M})$ is *ergodic* if $\mu(Y)$ is either 0 or 1 for every Borel set $Y \subseteq S_x(\mathbb{M})$ such that $\mu(Y \Delta gY) = 0$ for all $g \in G$.
- ▶ Fix a global f -generic type p , and for any Borel set $X \subseteq S(\mathbb{M})$ let $f_p(X) = \{g \in G/G^{00} : gp \in X\}$. Note that $f_p(X)$ is Borel. The measure μ_p defined earlier extends naturally to all Borel sets by taking $\mu_p(X) = h_0(f_p(X))$, defined this way it coincides with the usual extension of a finitely additive Keisler measure μ_p to a regular Borel measure.
- ▶ As h_0 is ergodic on G/G^{00} and $f_p(X \Delta gX) = f_p(X) \Delta gf_p(X)$, it follows that μ_p is ergodic.

Proof: μ ergodic $\Rightarrow \mu = \mu_p$ for some f -generic p

- ▶ Let μ be an ergodic measure.
- ▶ By Lemma (**), as L is countable, μ can be written as a limit of a sequence of averages of measures of the form μ_p .
- ▶ Let S be the set of all μ_p 's occurring in this sequence, S is countable.
- ▶ It follows that $\mu \in \overline{\text{Conv}S}$, and it is still an extreme point of $\overline{\text{Conv}S}$.
- ▶ Fact [e.g. Bourbaki]. Let E be a real, locally convex, linear Hausdorff space, and C a compact convex subset of E , $S \subseteq C$. Then $C = \overline{\text{Conv}S}$ iff \overline{S} includes all extreme points of C .
- ▶ Then actually $\mu \in \overline{S}$.
- ▶ It remains to check that if p is the limit of a *countable* set of p_i 's along some ultrafilter \mathcal{U} , then also the μ_{p_i} 's converge to μ_p along \mathcal{U} . By the countable version of Lemma (*), given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\phi(x)$, we can find $g_0, \dots, g_{m-1} \in G$ such that $\mu_{p_i}(\phi(x)) \approx^\varepsilon \text{Av}(g_j \phi(x) \in p_i)$ for all $i \in \omega$. But then $\{i \in \omega : \mu_{p_i}(\phi(x)) \approx^\varepsilon \mu_p(\phi(x))\} \in \mathcal{U}$, so we can conclude.

Several notions of genericity

- ▶ Another basic question: when a definable set contains a “generic” type? And also what is the right definition of “generic” outside of the stable context?
- ▶ For the action of the automorphism group, i.e. whether a definable set contains an invariant type – the answer is given by the theory of forking.
- ▶ Action of a definable group G – ... as well.

Several notions of genericity

- ▶ Stable setting: a formula $\phi(x)$ is *generic* if there are finitely many elements $g_0, \dots, g_{n-1} \in G$ such that $G = \bigcup_{i < n} g_i \cdot \phi(x)$.
- ▶ A global type $p \in S_x(\mathbb{M})$ is generic if every formula in it is generic.
- ▶ Problem: generic types need not exist in unstable groups.
- ▶ Several weakenings coming from different contexts were introduced by different people (in the definably amenable setting, and more generally).

Several notions of genericity

Theorem

Let G be definably amenable, NIP. Then the following are equivalent

1. $\phi(x)$ is f -generic (i.e. belongs to an f -generic type),
2. $\phi(x)$ is weakly generic (i.e. exists a non-generic $\psi(x)$ such that $\phi(x) \cup \psi(x)$ is generic),
3. $\mu(\phi(x)) > 0$ for some G -invariant measure μ ,
4. $\mu_p(\phi(x)) > 0$ for some ergodic measure μ_p .

If there is a generic type, then all these notions are equivalent to “ $\phi(x)$ is generic”.

- ▶ **Proposition.** G admits a generic type iff it is uniquely ergodic. In this case the invariant measure is both left and right invariant.

Some comments on the proof

The key step is the following:

- ▶ **Proposition.** Let $\phi(x)$ be f -generic. Then there are some global f -generic types $p_0, \dots, p_{n-1} \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$ such that for every $g \in G(\mathbb{M})$ we have $g\phi(x) \in p_i$ for some $i < n$.
- ▶ Our proof is a combination of some results on forking and the so-called (p, q) -theorem.

Dividing and forking

Definition

1. A formula $\phi(x, a)$ *divides* over a set A if there is a sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \omega} \in \mathbb{M}$ and $k \in \omega$ such that:
 - 1.1 $\text{tp}(a_i/A) = \text{tp}(a/A)$ for all $i < \omega$,
 - 1.2 the family $\{\phi(x, a_i)\}_{i \in \omega}$ is k -inconsistent (i.e. for every $i_0 < i_1 \dots < i_{k-1} \in \omega$ we have $\bigcap_{i < k} \phi(x, a_i) = \emptyset$).
2. A formula $\phi(x, a)$ *forks* over A if there are finitely many $\psi_0(x, b_0), \dots, \psi_{n-1}(x, b_{n-1})$ such that $\phi(x, a) \vdash \bigvee_{i < n} \psi_i(x, b_i)$ and each of $\psi_i(x, b_i)$ divides over A .
3. The set of formulas forking over A is an ideal in $\text{Def}(\mathbb{M})$ generated by the formulas dividing over A .

Dividing and forking

Fact

Let T be NIP, M a small model and $\phi(x, a)$ is a formula. Then the following are equivalent:

1. There is a global M -invariant type $p(x)$ such that $\phi(x, a) \in p$.
 2. $\phi(x, a)$ does not divide over M .
- ▶ This is a combination of non-forking=invariance for global types and a theorem of [Ch.,Kaplan] on forking=dividing for formulas in NIP.
 - ▶ With this fact, a formula $\phi(x)$ is f -generic iff for every M over which it is defined, and for every $g \in G(\mathbb{M})$, $g\phi(x)$ does not divide over M .

Adding G to the picture

- ▶ G is definably amenable, NIP.

Theorem

1. *Non- f -generic formulas form an ideal (in particular every f -generic formula extends to a global f -generic type by Zorn's lemma).*
2. *Moreover, this ideal is S1 in the terminology of Hrushovski: assume that $\phi(x)$ is f -generic and definable over M . Let $(g_i)_{i \in \omega}$ be an M -indiscernible sequence, then $g_0\phi(x) \wedge g_1\phi(x)$ is f -generic.*
3. *There is a form of lowness for f -genericity, i.e. for any formula $\phi(x, y) \in L(M)$, the set $B_\phi = \{b \in \mathbb{M} : \phi(x, b) \text{ is not } f\text{-generic}\}$ is type-definable over M .*

(p, q) -theorem

Definition

We say that $\mathcal{F} = \{X_a : a \in A\}$ satisfies the (p, q) -property if for every $A' \subseteq A$ with $|A'| \geq p$ there is some $A'' \subseteq A'$ with $|A''| \geq q$ such that $\bigcap_{a \in A''} X_a \neq \emptyset$.

Fact

[Alon, Kleitman]+[Matousek] Let \mathcal{F} be a finite family of subsets of S of finite VC-dimension d . Assume that $p \geq q \gg d$. Then there is an $N = N(p, q)$ such that if \mathcal{F} satisfies the (p, q) -property, then there are $b_0, \dots, b_N \in S$ such that for every $a \in A$, $b_i \in X_a$ for some $i < N$.

- ▶ The point is that if $\phi(x)$ is f -generic, then the family $\mathcal{F} = \{g\phi(x) \cap Y : g \in G\}$ with Y the set of global f -generic types, satisfies the (p, q) -property for some p and q .