

# Ergodic measures and genericity in definably amenable NIP groups

Artem Chernikov

(IMJ-PRG)

“When Topological Dynamics meets Model Theory”,

Marseille, June 30, 2015

## Definable groups

- ▶ Let  $G$  be a definable group (i.e. a definable set with a definable group operation in some first-order structure  $M$  in some language  $L$ ).
- ▶  $G$  is equipped with a Boolean algebra of  $L(M)$ -definable subsets  $\text{Def}_G(M)$ .
- ▶ Let the space of  $G$ -types  $S_G(M)$  be the (compact, Hausdorff, totally disconnected) Stone dual of  $\text{Def}_G(M)$  (i.e. elements of  $S_G(M)$  are ultrafilters on  $\text{Def}_G(M)$ ).
- ▶  $G(M)$  acts on  $S_G(M)$  by homeomorphisms, a point transitive flow.
- ▶ Let  $\mathbb{M} \succ M$  be a saturated “monster” model, let  $G(\mathbb{M})$  be the interpretation of  $G$  in  $\mathbb{M}$ .

## NIP and VC dimension

- ▶ NIP was introduced by Shelah for the purposes of his classification theory (motivated by questions like: given a theory  $T$  and uncountable  $\kappa$ , how many models of cardinality  $\kappa$  can it have?).
- ▶ Turned out to be closely connected to Vapnik–Chervonenkis dimension, or VC-dimension — a notion from combinatorics introduced around the same time (central in computational learning theory).

## NIP and VC dimension

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subsets of a set  $X$ .
- ▶ For a set  $B \subseteq X$ , let  $\mathcal{F} \cap B = \{A \cap B : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .
- ▶ We say that  $B \subseteq X$  is *shattered* by  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $\mathcal{F} \cap B = 2^B$ .
- ▶ The *VC dimension* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the largest integer  $n$  such that some subset of  $S$  of size  $n$  is shattered by  $\mathcal{F}$  (otherwise  $\infty$ ).
- ▶ An  $L$ -structure  $M$  is NIP if for every formula  $\phi(x, y) \in L$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are tuples of variables, the family of definable subsets of  $M$  given by  $\{\phi(x, a) : a \in M\}$  is of finite VC dimension (note that this is a property of  $T$ ).
- ▶ This is a talk about groups definable in NIP structures.

## Examples of NIP groups

- ▶ Any  $\mathcal{o}$ -minimal structure is NIP, so e.g. groups definable in  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times)$  such as  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ , etc.
- ▶ Any stable structure is NIP, so e.g. algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields, but also free groups (in the pure group language) [Sela].
- ▶  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \times, 0, 1)$  is NIP.
- ▶ Algebraically closed valued fields are NIP.

## NIP groups and tame/null dynamical systems

- ▶ Turns out that the topological dynamics hierarchy is closely connected to the model theoretic hierarchy (independently noticed and explored by Ibarlucía).
- ▶ If  $G$  is an NIP group, then  $G \curvearrowright S_G(M)$  is null (in the sense of Glasner-Megrelishvili).
- ▶ If  $G$  is a stable group, then  $G \curvearrowright S_G(M)$  is WAP.
- ▶ Some of our results hold just assuming that  $G \curvearrowright S_G(M)$  is tame, yet to be clarified (by compactness null = tame in this setting).

## Connected components

- ▶ Working in  $\mathbb{M}$ ,  $H$  is a *type-definable* subgroup of  $G$  if  $H$  is given by an intersection of a small family of definable sets (small means smaller than the saturation of  $\mathbb{M}$ ).
- ▶ A type-definable group in general is not an intersection of definable groups (though true in stable groups).
- ▶ For a small set  $A \subset \mathbb{M}$ ,  $G_A^{00} = \bigcap \{H \leq G : H \text{ is type-definable over } A, \text{ of bounded index}\}$ .
- ▶ [Shelah] Let  $G$  be an NIP group. Then  $G_A^{00} = G_\emptyset^{00}$  for any small set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{M}$ .
- ▶  $G^{00}$  is a normal type-definable subgroup of bounded index.

## Logic topology on $G/G^{00}$

- ▶ Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/G^{00}$  be the quotient map, we endow  $G/G^{00}$  with the *logic topology*: a set  $S \subseteq G/G^{00}$  is closed iff  $\pi^{-1}(S)$  is type-definable over some (any) small model  $M$ .
- ▶ With this topology,  $G/G^{00}$  is a compact topological group.

### Example

1. If  $G$  is a stable group, then  $G/G^{00}$  is a profinite group: it is the inverse image of the groups  $G/H$ , where  $H$  ranges over all definable subgroups of finite index.  
E.g. If  $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ , then  $G^{00}$  is the set of elements divisible by all  $n$ . The quotient  $G/G^{00}$  is isomorphic as a topological group to  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .
2. If  $G = \text{SO}(2, \mathcal{R})$  is the circle group defined in a (saturated) real closed field  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $G^{00}$  is the set of infinitesimal elements of  $G$  and  $G/G^{00}$  is isomorphic to the standard circle group  $\text{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

## Keisler measures and definable amenability

- ▶ A *Keisler measure*  $\mu$  is a finitely additive probability measure on the Boolean algebra  $\text{Def}_G(M)$ .
- ▶ Every Keisler measure extends uniquely to a regular Borel probability measure on  $S_G(M)$ .
- ▶ A definable group  $G$  is *definably amenable* if it admits a  $G$ -invariant Keisler measure on  $\text{Def}_G(M)$ .
- ▶ Note: this is a property of the definable group  $G$ , i.e. does not depend on  $M$ .

## Examples of definably amenable groups

- ▶ Stable groups (in particular the free group  $F_2$ , viewed as a structure in a pure group language, is definably amenable).
- ▶ Definable compact groups in  $\mathcal{o}$ -minimal theories or in  $p$ -adics (compact Lie groups, e.g.  $SO(3, \mathbb{R})$ , seen as definable groups in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).
- ▶ Solvable NIP groups, or more generally any NIP group  $G$  such that  $G(M)$  is amenable as a discrete group.
- ▶  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  is *not* definably amenable for  $n > 1$ .

## Dynamics of $G \curvearrowright S_G(\mathbb{M})$ : stable example

- ▶ Consider  $G \curvearrowright S_G(\mathbb{M})$  for  $G$  a stable group.
- ▶ Then there is a unique minimal flow and it is homeomorphic to  $G/G^0$ . Moreover, the system is uniquely ergodic.
- ▶ The elements of the minimal flow are precisely the *generic* types.
- ▶ A set  $X \in \text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$  is *generic* (syndetic) if  $G = \bigcup_{i \leq n} g_i X$  for some  $g_0, \dots, g_n \in G$ . A type  $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$  is generic if every formula in it is generic.
- ▶ What about NIP? Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ . Any generic set must be unbounded on both sides, but then non-generic sets don't form an ideal and there are no generic types.
- ▶ Several alternative notions of genericity were suggested. Turns out that they all are equivalent in definably amenable NIP groups.

## First option: weak generics

- ▶ [Newelski] A set  $X \in \text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$  is *weakly generic* if there is a non-generic  $Y \in \text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$  such that  $X \cup Y$  is generic.
- ▶ A type  $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$  is weakly generic if for every  $\phi(x) \in p$ , the set  $\phi(\mathbb{M})$  is weakly generic.
- ▶ Weakly generic subsets of  $G$  always form a filter in  $\text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$ , so weakly generic types always exist.
- ▶ In fact, the set of weakly generic types is precisely the mincenter of  $S_G(\mathbb{M})$ , i.e. the closure of the union of all minimal flows.

## Second option: $f$ -generics

- ▶ By analogy with  $f$ -generics developed for groups in simple theories (“ $f$ ” is for “forking”).
- ▶  $X \in \text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$  divides over  $M$  if there are  $\sigma_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}/M)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sigma_{i_1}(X) \cap \dots \cap \sigma_{i_k}(X) = \emptyset$  for any  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ .
- ▶ [C., Kaplan] Assuming NIP, the set of all  $X$  dividing over  $M$  is an ideal in  $\text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$ .
- ▶ We say that  $X \in \text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$  is  $f$ -generic if there is some small model  $M$  such that  $g \cdot X$  does not divide over  $M$  for all  $g \in G(\mathbb{M})$ .
- ▶ A type  $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$  is  $f$ -generic, if for every  $\phi(x) \in p$ , the set  $\phi(\mathbb{M})$  is  $f$ -generic.

# Characterization of definable amenability

## Theorem

*[C., Simon] Let  $G$  be an NIP group. The following are equivalent:*

- 1.  $G$  is definably amenable.*
- 2. The family of non- $f$ -generic sets is an ideal in  $\text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$ .*
- 3. There is an  $f$ -generic type  $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$ .*
- 4.  $G \curvearrowright S_G(\mathbb{M})$  has a bounded orbit (equivalently, the action of  $G$  on the space of measures on  $S_G(\mathbb{M})$  has a bounded orbit).*

# Generics in definably amenable NIP groups

## Theorem

[C., Simon] Let  $G$  be a definably amenable NIP group.

1. Let  $X \in \text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$ , the following are equivalent:
  - 1.1  $X$  is  $f$ -generic,
  - 1.2  $X$  is weakly generic,
  - 1.3  $\mu(X) > 0$  for some  $G$ -invariant Keisler measure  $\mu$  on  $\text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$ ,
  - 1.4 There is no infinite sequence  $(g_i)$  from  $G$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g_{i_1}X \cap \dots \cap g_{i_k}X = \emptyset$  for all  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ .
2. Moreover, for  $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$ , the following are equivalent:
  - 2.1  $p$  is  $f$ -generic,
  - 2.2  $\text{Stab}(p) = G^{00}$ .
3.  $G$  is uniquely ergodic if and only if it admits a generic type, in which case all notions above coincide with genericity.

## Finding measures from generic types

- ▶ Let  $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$  be  $f$ -generic, and let  $h_0$  be the (normalized) Haar measure on  $G/G^{00}$ .
- ▶ Let  $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$  be  $f$ -generic (so in particular  $gp$  is  $G^{00}$ -invariant for all  $g \in G$ ).
- ▶ Given  $\phi(\mathbb{M}) \in \text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$ , let  $A_{\phi,p} = \{\bar{g} \in G/G^{00} : \phi(x) \in g \cdot p\}$ . It is a measurable subset of  $G/G^{00}$  (using Borel-definability of invariant types in NIP).
- ▶ For  $\phi(x) \in L(\mathbb{M})$ , we define  $\mu_p(\phi(x)) = h_0(A_{\phi,p})$ .
- ▶ Then  $\mu_p$  is  $G$ -invariant Keisler measure on  $\text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$  (this generalizes a construction of Pillay and Hrushovski for  $p$  strongly  $f$ -generic).
- ▶ Note that  $\mu_{g \cdot p} = \mu_p$  for any  $g \in G$ .
- ▶ We would like to understand the map  $p \mapsto \mu_p$  better.

## VC theorem

### Fact

[VC theorem] Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a probability space, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a countable family of subsets of  $X$  of finite VC-dimension such that every  $S \in \mathcal{F}$  is measurable. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is some  $n = n(\varepsilon, \text{VC-dim}(\mathcal{F})) \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  such that for any  $S \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $\left| \mu(S) - \frac{|\{i: x_i \in S\}|}{n} \right| < \varepsilon$ .

- ▶ Countability of  $\mathcal{F}$  may be relaxed to the measurability of the maps

- ▶  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \sup_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \mu(S) - \frac{|\{i: x_i \in S\}|}{n} \right|$  and

- ▶  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto \sup_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{|\{i: x_i \in S\}|}{n} - \frac{|\{i: y_i \in S\}|}{n} \right|$ .

## “Equivariant” VC-theorem

- ▶ It follows that Keisler measures in NIP theories can be approximated by the averages of types:
- ▶ **Fact.** For any measure  $\mu$ , formula  $\phi(x, y) \in L$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are some  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in S(\mathbb{M})$  in the support of  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(\phi(x, a)) \approx^\varepsilon \frac{|\{i: \phi(x, a) \in p_i\}|}{n}$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{M}$ .
- ▶ We obtain some “equivariant” versions of the VC-theorem with respect to  $\mu_p$ 's, e.g.
- ▶ **Proposition.** Let  $\mu$  be a  $G$ -invariant measure on  $\text{Def}_G(\mathbb{M})$ . Then for every  $\phi(x, y) \in L$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are some  $f$ -generic  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$  such that  $\mu(\phi(x, a)) \approx^\varepsilon \frac{\sum \mu_{p_i}(\phi(x, a))}{n}$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{M}$ .
- ▶ Our proof is by using the VC theorem with respect to the Haar measure on  $G/G^{00}$ . We work with an uncountable family of sets, so have to invoke universal measurability of analytic sets in Polish groups to ensure that the assumptions of the VC theorem are satisfied.

## Properties of $p \mapsto \mu_p$

### ► Proposition.

- Let  $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$  be  $f$ -generic, and assume that  $q \in \overline{Gp}$ . Then  $q$  is  $f$ -generic and  $\mu_p = \mu_q$ .
- The map  $p \mapsto \mu_p$  is continuous.
- In particular, for every  $f$ -generic  $p$  there is an almost periodic  $q$  such that  $\mu_p = \mu_q$ .
- We note however that Pillay and Yao give an example of a group definable in an  $o$ -minimal theory in which there are weakly generic types that are not almost periodic.

## Ergodic measures

- ▶ Recall that a  $G$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  is *ergodic* if it is an extreme point of the convex set of all  $G$ -invariant measures. Equivalently, if for every Borel set  $Y$  such that  $\mu(Y \triangle gY) = 0$  for all  $g \in G$ , either  $\mu(Y) = 0$  or  $\mu(Y) = 1$ .

### Theorem

[C., Simon] *Regular ergodic measures on  $S_G(\mathbb{M})$  are precisely the measures of the form  $\mu_p$ , for  $f$ -generic  $p \in S_G(\mathbb{M})$ .*

- ▶ In particular, the set of regular ergodic measures is closed.
- ▶ **Problem.** Let  $\text{FGen} \subseteq S_G(\mathbb{M})$  be the closed set of  $f$ -generic types, then  $G/G^{00}$  acts on  $\text{FGen}$ . Is the map  $(g, p) \mapsto g \cdot p$  measurable? It is continuous for a fixed  $g$  and measurable for a fixed  $p$ . In many situations this is sufficient for joint measurability, but not so clear in this case.

## References

- ▶ Artem Chernikov, Pierre Simon, “Definably amenable NIP groups”, arXiv:1502.04365