**Proposition 0.1.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be  $\kappa$ -saturated,  $A \subset M^n$  with  $|A| < \kappa$ .  $P: M^n \to [0,1]$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$  over A if and only if, for every  $r \in [0,1]$ , the sets

$$\{a \in M^n : P(a) \ge r\}, \quad \{a \in M^n : P(a) \le r\}$$

are type definable in  $\mathcal{M}$  over A.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\Phi$  :  $S_n(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be continuous such that, for all  $a \in M^n$ ,

$$\Phi(\operatorname{tp}_{\mathscr{M}}(a/A)) = P(a).$$

For any  $r \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\Phi^{-1}[0, r]$  is closed, hence of the form

$$C_{\Gamma} = \{ p \in S_n(A) : \Gamma \subset p \}$$

for some  $\Gamma \subset L(A)$ . Thus,  $\Gamma$  type defines the set  $\{a \in M^n : P(a) \le r\}$ . For the set  $\{a \in M^n : P(a) \ge r, \text{ look at } \Phi^{-1}[r, 1].$ 

(⇐) We show that there is a continuous  $\Phi : S_n(A) \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that, for all  $a \in M^n$ ,

$$\Phi(\operatorname{tp}_{\mathcal{M}}(a/A)) = P(a).$$

Define  $\Phi$  on  $S_n(A)$  by

$$\Phi(p) = P(a)$$
, where  $a \models_{\mathcal{M}} p$ .

Note that  $\Phi$  is well defined; indeed, such an  $a \in M$  exists by saturation, and by our hypothesis any  $a, b \in M$  with the same type over A have P(a) = P(b). Next, we show that  $\Phi$  is continuous. Fix  $p \in S_n(A)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $r = \Phi(p)$ .

*Claim.*  $\exists \phi$  with ( $\phi = 0$ )  $\in p$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall a \in M^n$ ,

$$\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}(a) < \delta \Longrightarrow P(a) \in (r - \epsilon, 1].$$

To prove the claim, suppose it fails. Then  $\forall \varphi$  with  $(\varphi = 0) \in p, \forall \delta > 0$ , there exists  $a \in M^n$  such that  $\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}(a) < \delta$  and  $P(a) \le r - \epsilon$ . If  $\Gamma$  type defines the set  $\{a \in M^n : P(a) \le r - \epsilon\}$ , then  $p^+ \cup \Gamma$  is finitely satisfiable in  $\mathcal{M}$ . By saturation, there is  $a \in M^n$  with  $a \models_{\mathcal{M}} p \cup \Gamma$ . But this yield the contradiciton

$$r = \Phi(p) = P(a) \le r - \epsilon$$

The claim gives us a neighborhood  $[\varphi < \delta]$  of *p* such that

$$q \in [\varphi < \delta] \implies P(q) \in (r - \epsilon, 1].$$

Similarly, we can show there is a neighborhood  $[\varphi' < \delta']$  of p such that

$$q \in [\varphi' < \delta'] \implies P(q) \in [0, r + \epsilon).$$

Thus,  $p \in [\varphi < \delta] \cap [\varphi' < \delta'] \subset \Phi^{-1}(r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon)$ . This completes the poof that  $\Phi$  is continuous.