

Linear Combinations and Span.

Definition. Let V be a vector space over a field F , and let u_1, \dots, u_n be vectors in V .

A vector $v \in V$ is a **linear combination** of the vectors u_1, \dots, u_n if there exist scalars a_1, \dots, a_n in F such that $v = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_n u_n$.

We refer to a_1, \dots, a_n as the **coefficients** of the linear combination.

• In any vector space V , we always have $0v = 0$ for each $v \in V$. Thus the zero vector is a linear combination of any non-empty set of vectors in V .

• Given $v \in V$ and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in V$, how can one determine whether v is a linear combination of the vectors u_1, \dots, u_n ?

That is, we need to understand if it is possible to find scalars $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$ such that $a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n = v$.

This question often reduces to solving a **system of linear equations**.

Example. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$, let $v = (1, 5)$ and $u_1 = (2, 0)$, $u_2 = (3, -1)$.

We must determine whether there are scalars $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $v = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2$, or:

$$(1, 5) = a_1 (2, 0) + a_2 (3, -1) = (2a_1, 0) + (3a_2, -a_2) = (2a_1 + 3a_2, -a_2).$$

So v is a linear combination of u_1, u_2 iff there are real numbers $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the system of linear equations

$$(1) \quad 1 = 2a_1 + 3a_2$$

$$(2) \quad 5 = -a_2$$

is satisfied.

Note that then necessarily $a_2 = -5$ by (2), hence $1 = 2a_1 + 3(-5)$, so $2a_1 = 16$, so $a_1 = 8$.

Then $(a_1, a_2) = (8, -5)$ is a solution, showing that indeed v is a linear combination of u_1, u_2 .

(For a more involved example see Textbook, Section 1.4 and Problem Set 2).

• Theorem 1.3 allows us to determine when a subset S of a vector space V is already a subspace.

Now we discuss how, starting with an arbitrary subset $S \subseteq V$ (which may not be a subspace of V itself), to find a subspace of V "generated" by it.

Definition. Let S be any subset of a vector space V .

The **span** of S , denoted $\text{Span}(S)$, is the set consisting of all linear combinations of the vectors in S . That is,

$$\text{Span}(S) = \{ a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in F, u_i \in S \} \subseteq V.$$

As the empty set \emptyset is a subset of any vector space V , $\text{Span}(\emptyset)$ also needs to be defined.

For convenience, we define $\text{Span}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$.

Note that $S \subseteq \text{Span}(S)$, since for every $u \in S$, $u = 1 \cdot u \in \text{Span}(S)$.

Example. Consider the vectors $u_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $u_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $S = \{u_1, u_2\} \subseteq V$.

Then vectors in $\text{Span}(S)$ are precisely the vectors of the form $a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2$ where a_1, a_2 vary over \mathbb{R} .

That is, $\text{Span}(S)$ consists of all the vectors of the form $a_1(1, 0, 0) + a_2(0, 1, 0) = (a_1, a_2, 0)$ for some $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus $\text{Span}(S) = \{ (a_1, a_2, 0) : a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \}$ — we already know that this is a subspace of V .

This is not a coincidence!

Theorem 1.5. Let V be a vector space over F .

1) The span of any subset S of V is a subspace of V .

2) Any subspace of V that contains S must also contain $\text{Span}(S)$.

(so $\text{Span}(S)$ is the smallest subspace of V that contains S)

Proof. Both (1) and (2) are obvious if $S = \emptyset$, because $\text{Span}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ — we know that it is a subspace of V , and any subspace of V must contain 0 .

If $S \neq \emptyset$, then S contains a vector z . As $0z = 0$, $0 \in \text{Span}(S)$.

Let $x, y \in \text{Span}(S)$. Then we can write

$$x = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_m u_m \quad \text{and} \quad y = b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n \quad \text{for some } a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n \in F \text{ and } u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n \in S.$$

Then both

$x+y = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n$ and $c \cdot x = (ca_1)u_1 + (ca_2)u_2 + \dots + (ca_m)u_m$ are also linear combinations of vectors of S , and so belong to $\text{Span}(S)$.

Thus (a), (b), (c) in Theorem 1.3 hold and it follows that $\text{Span}(C)$ is a subspace of V , showing (1).

Now let $W \subseteq V$ be any subspace of V that contains S .

If $w \in \text{Span}(S)$ then we can write

$$w = c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k$$

for some vectors $w_1, \dots, w_k \in S$ and some scalars c_1, \dots, c_k in F .

Since $S \subseteq W$, we have $w_1, \dots, w_k \in W$.

But as W is a vector space, this implies that $w = c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k$ is also in W .

Because w , an arbitrary vector in $\text{Span}(S)$, belongs to W , it follows that $\text{Span}(S) \subseteq W$.

This proves (2).

Definition. A subset S of a vector space V **generates** (or **spans**) V if $\text{Span}(S) = V$.

(In this case, we also say that the vectors of S generate, or span, V .)

(and explicit)

• Finding a small generating set for a vector space is an efficient way of describing V and simplifies working with it.

Example. For any vector space V , $\text{Span}(V) = V$ (so V is generated by itself).

Example. The vectors $(1,0,0)$, $(0,1,0)$, $(0,0,1)$ generate the vector space \mathbb{R}^3 .

Indeed, any vector $(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ can be written as $a(1,0,0) + b(0,1,0) + c(0,0,1)$, and so

$$\text{Span}(\{(1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1)\}) = \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Example. Let $V = M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ be the vector space of all 2×2 matrices with entries from \mathbb{R} .

Then $M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ generate $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Indeed, for any $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $\text{Span}(\{M_1, \dots, M_4\}) = M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Example. Let $P(F)$ be the vector space of all polynomials over F .

Then the set $\{1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$ generates $P(F)$.

Indeed, $\text{Span}(\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}) = \{a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n : n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in F\}$ — all polynomials over F appear.

Similarly, $P_n(F)$ is generated by $\{1, x, \dots, x^n\}$.

Linear Independence

Usually there are many subsets that generate the same space.

Example.

We saw that the vectors $(1,0,0)$, $(0,1,0)$, $(0,0,1)$ generate the vector space \mathbb{R}^3 .

The set $\{(1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1), (2,3,-1)\}$ also generates \mathbb{R}^3 , but in fact the vector $(2,3,-1)$ is redundant.

• It is natural to look for the smallest possible subset of V that generates it.

First, we explore the circumstances under which a vector can be removed from a generating set to obtain a smaller generating set.

• If u_1, \dots, u_n are any vectors in a vector space V over F , then the zero vector is always a linear combination of u_1, \dots, u_n :

$$0 = 0 \cdot u_1 + 0 \cdot u_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot u_n.$$

(a linear combination is **trivial** if all of the coefficients a_1, \dots, a_n are equal to zero).

• Sometimes it is also possible to write

$$0 = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n$$

so that not all of $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$ are 0. (so 0 is a **non-trivial** linear combination of u_1, \dots, u_n).

Example. In \mathbb{R}^2 , $0 = 2 \cdot (1, 2) + 5 \cdot (2, 1) + 3 \cdot (-4, -3)$ is a non-trivial representation of 0.

Definition. A subset S of a vector space V is called **linearly dependent** if there exist a finite number of **distinct** vectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n in S and scalars $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$, **not all zero**, such that $a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_n u_n = 0$.

If S is not linearly dependent, then S is called **linearly independent**.

(We also say that the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly dependent / independent if the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is linearly dependent / independent.)

Example. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$.

• The set $S_1 = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ is linearly independent.

Indeed, if $(0, 0) = a_1(0, 1) + a_2(1, 0)$, then $\begin{cases} 0 = a_1 \cdot 0 + a_2 \cdot 1 \\ 0 = a_1 \cdot 1 + a_2 \cdot 0 \end{cases}$ must hold, and so $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0$. This

means that any representation of the zero vector as a linear combination of vectors from S_1 is trivial.

• However, the set $S_2 = \{(0, 1), (1, 0), (17, 18)\}$ is linearly dependent.

Indeed, $18(0, 1) + 17(1, 0) + (-1)(17, 18) = 0$, and this is a non-trivial representation of 0.

Example. V be an arbitrary vector space over F .

1) Any set $S \subseteq V$ containing 0 is linearly dependent. (indeed, as $0 \in S$, then $0 = 1 \cdot 0$ is a non-trivial representation of 0 as a lin. comb. of vectors in V).

2) The empty set $\emptyset \subseteq V$ is linearly independent (we cannot form any linear combination at all using its elements).

3) If $S = \{u\} \subseteq V$ consists of a single non-zero vector u , then S is linearly independent.

Indeed, if $\{u\}$ is linearly dependent, then $au = 0$ for some non-zero scalar $a \in F$. Thus

$$u = \underbrace{(a^{-1} \cdot a)}_{=1} u = a^{-1}(au) = a^{-1} \cdot 0 = 0 \quad (\text{by Theorem 1.2})$$

Example. Similarly, in $V = M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$, the set $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is linearly independent.

Indeed, assume that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = a_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + a_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + a_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + a_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{— a representation of the zero vector } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}).$$

This means that the system of linear equations

$$0 = a_1 \cdot 1 + a_2 \cdot 0 + a_3 \cdot 0 + a_4 \cdot 0$$

$$0 = a_1 \cdot 0 + a_2 \cdot 1 + a_3 \cdot 0 + a_4 \cdot 0$$

$$0 = a_1 \cdot 0 + a_2 \cdot 0 + a_3 \cdot 1 + a_4 \cdot 0$$

$$0 = a_1 \cdot 0 + a_2 \cdot 0 + a_3 \cdot 0 + a_4 \cdot 1$$

is satisfied. But this is only possible when $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$. Thus, there are no non-trivial representation of 0 using elements from S .

Example. In $V = P_n(F)$, the set $S = \{1, x, \dots, x^n\}$ is linearly independent.

Indeed, assume that

$$0 = a_0 \cdot 1 + a_1 \cdot x + \dots + a_n \cdot x^n \quad \text{is a representation of the zero vector in } P_n(F) \text{ (which is the zero polynomial).}$$

This is only possible when all of $a_i, i=0, \dots, n$ are 0, which implies that the representation is trivial.

Theorem 1.6. Let V be a vector space over F , and let $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq V$ be two subsets.

1) S_1 is linearly dependent $\Rightarrow S_2$ is linearly dependent.

2) S_2 is linearly independent $\Rightarrow S_1$ is linearly independent.

Proof. (2) follows from (1).

standard basis for $P_n(F)$.

Example 5. In $V = P(F)$, the set $\{1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$ is a basis.

This shows in particular that a basis need not be finite.

(Later we will see that in fact no basis for $P(F)$ can be finite.)

The next theorem establishes the most significant property of a basis:

• Every vector in V can be expressed in one and only one way as a linear combination of the vectors in the basis.

It is this property that makes bases the building blocks of vector spaces.

Theorem 1.8. Let V be a vector space and $\beta = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ be a subset of V . Then the following two statements are equivalent.

1) β is a basis for V

2) Every vector $v \in V$ can be **uniquely** expressed as a linear combination of vectors in β , that is, can be expressed in the form $v = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n$ for **unique** scalars a_1, \dots, a_n .

Proof. (1) implies (2).

Let β be a basis for V . If $v \in V$ is any vector in V , then $v \in \text{Span}(\beta)$ because $\text{Span}(\beta) = V$ (by assumption). Thus v is a linear combination of the vectors in β .

Suppose that

$$v = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_n u_n \text{ and } v = b_1 u_1 + b_2 u_2 + \dots + b_n u_n$$

are any two such representations of v .

Subtracting the 2nd equation from the 1st one and rearranging gives:

$$0 = (a_1 - b_1) u_1 + (a_2 - b_2) u_2 + \dots + (a_n - b_n) u_n.$$

Since β is linearly independent, it follows that

$$a_1 - b_1 = 0, \dots, a_n - b_n = 0 \text{ (otherwise we would get a non-trivial representation of } 0 \text{ with vectors in } \beta).$$

Hence $a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_n = b_n$ — which means that there is a unique way to express v as a lin. comb. of the vectors in β .

(2) implies (1).

Suppose every $v \in V$ can be uniquely expressed as a lin. comb. of u_1, \dots, u_n .

Then $\text{Span}(\beta) = V$ (in particular), and it remains to check that β is linearly independent.

Assume $0 = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n$ for some a_1, \dots, a_n in F .

But also $0 = 0 \cdot u_1 + \dots + 0 \cdot u_n$.

These are two ways to express $0 \in V$, so by the uniqueness assumption they must coincide.

That is, we must have $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0, \dots, a_n = 0$ — which means that β is lin. indep.

